

From:  
John H. Webster  
1556 Halford Ave., #132  
Santa Clara, California 95051  
Email: jwebster@ix.netcom.com

To:  
President George W. Bush  
The President of the United States of America  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20500

CERTIFIED MAIL #:  
7001 0320 0000 3222 0321

The Honorable Diane Feinstein  
United States Senate  
Hart Senate Office Bldg., #331  
Washington, D.C. 20510

CERTIFIED MAIL #:  
7001 0320 0000 3222 0314

The Honorable Barbara Boxer  
United States Senate  
Hart Senate Office Bldg., #112  
Washington, D.C. 20510

CERTIFIED MAIL #:  
7001 0320 0000 3222 0307

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren  
United States House of Representatives  
Cannon House Office Bldg., #318  
Washington, D.C. 20515

CERTIFIED MAIL #:  
7001 0320 0000 3222 0291

K. C. Weaver, Chief Postal Inspector  
US Postal Inspection Service,  
National Headquarters  
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20260-2100

CERTIFIED MAIL #:  
7001 0320 0000 3222 0284

Internal Revenue Service Commissioner  
1111 Constitution Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20224

CERTIFIED MAIL #:  
7001 0320 0000 3222 0277

### PETITION FOR REDRESS

This document is to notify you of certain facts, related to illegal and unconstitutional actions of a particular Federal Law Enforcement Agency, the US Postal Inspection Service. That agency systematically violated my rights and the rights of many others on at least two occasions that I personally know about.

I would remind you that the "Bill of Rights" is not in the US Constitution to "give people Rights", those Rights are pre-existing and "unalienable". The first ten

amendments to the Constitution are there to protect the Federal Government by preventing it from becoming so tyrannical that its actions would morally justify a violent overthrow of that government.

As noted below, the USPIS in its efforts to "fight Crime" has come up with cute and clever ways of circumventing the restrictions placed on it by the "Bill of Rights". By using those methods that agency has destroyed much of what this country was founded upon and brought us to the brink of morally justifying that violent overthrow of the US Government.

I am writing this letter only after my attempts to work within the legal system for the last 10 years, has failed to resolve these issues. I am also officially notifying and warning key people within the US Government that unless they publicly own up to the crimes perpetuated by agencies of the government against the people of these United States that the revolution will come and the US Government will fall.

My own experiences listed below, show that the USPIS is an agency that operates under the philosophy that "Might makes Right" and that the "Ends justifies the Means". They apparently believe that the **altering of evidence, including the editing of audio tapes** is justified in the enforcement of cultural standards. Considering my personal experience with that agency, imagine my anger when they were picked to re-investigate the tragedy at Waco, Texas where the public was convinced **with tape recordings** that the Branch Dividians had committed suicide (NOT!).

The first violation of my rights by the US Postal Inspection Service was in 1989 when they ran a sting out of a xxx-rated (normally legal and above board) picture download service. That service was named "Event Horizons" and was located in central Oregon (Eugene I believe). On that service users would call in with their modems and see lists of one-line descriptions of pictures on their computer. They then could download the pictures that had interesting descriptions.

An important point was that the user could not actually see the picture until they had downloaded it to their computer.

Out of at least a thousand legal very high quality color photographs, an "informant"/sysop working for the USPIS placed a single low quality black and white photo showing a child engaging in a sexual act with adults -- ie. child pornography. The description of that photograph only referred to a "young girl" engaged in sex (How old is young? Well, let's download it and see).

That informant would later generate Search Warrant Justifications for each of the users that unknowingly downloaded that illegal picture. That document would state under oath that each of those users paid for (ie. paid for connect time) and took possession of (ie. downloaded) that child pornography.

Most of those Search Warrants were executed the following year (during the month of May, I believe) in a "Sweep" that confiscated those user's computers and other equipment in a search for child pornography (such as that one picture downloaded from Event Horizons). The "Justification" for those Search Warrants would be sealed from public view through the miss-use of "Confidential Informant" laws. The public therefore would never learn of the fraudulent nature of those Search Warrants.

I also had downloaded that picture (and of course immediately deleted it after seeing it), but I had inadvertently fallen into another entrapment scam also run by the USPIS, along with the San Jose Police department, and was arrested on March 9th of 1990. That scam used blatant active entrapment and so it was preferred that no court document related to its cases have any statements that could allude to that scam's active nature (so as to prevent the document from being used later in an appeal). Therefore in my case the alternate Search Warrant Justification generated by the "informant" in Eugene Oregon was used in place of anything related to the case for which I was arrested (California Superior Court #139218). That justifying document was of course sealed.

I had answered a personals ad placed in the Swingers Digest by an "Informant" working for the USPIS who was pretending to be a woman interested in an "Open and Honest" relationship. The "woman" and I wrote letters back and forth; 8 of mine to 3 of hers. Those letters indicated that "she" was looking for a potential lover but someone who would also be supportive of how she wished to raise her own three fictitious children --exactly how she wished to raise them, was undefined at first.

Later in response to a State Court Discovery Motion only three of my letters and one of the informant's letters were released by the police, the others being held/hidden by the USPIS agency -- they being immune to state court motions since they are a Federal agency. Years later a "Freedom of Information" request forced that agency to release those letters.

During the sting, after a couple months of exchanging letters the identity of the "woman" was transferred to an undercover San Jose Policewoman -- Officer Brenda Wells (since married, now with a last name of Herbert) -- who started calling me. The calls quickly became a cross between phone-sex and psychotherapy. "Tell me all your fantasies and desires" she said.

Once she realized that I had accepted her as my potential soul mate she started going weird on me to see how far I would go along. The way she wants to raise her children becomes more and more weird and sexual. She begins demanding sexual fantasies from me involving her and my children. She finally solicits sex with a ten-year-old boy at my household, from me, which I adamantly refuse.

That solicitation by her as well as my response **did not show up** on the "official" tape evidence of the calls; it was probably not even in her script. Apparently I was not getting the hint that I had to make some kind of promise or offer to her of a future sexual escapade with children in order to keep her interested in me. Brenda

probably just turned off the recorder, made the solicitation then turned the recorder back on after my response.

I finally got the hint, but I didn't feel right about making any real promise that I could not keep without violating my own ethics. The way I dealt with this enigma was to make promises to her of sexual encounters with children that were conditional on events that I knew would never happen. For example: If the ten year-old boy's mother would let us take him to a nude beach; or if my ex-wife would let me take my boy unsupervised.

As it turns out, California law (266j of the penal code) makes such "offers" as illegal as if I had really make legitimate offers. But in order for the San Jose Police and the US Postal Inspection Service to ensure that they had a good legal case against me, **they had to remove the evidence of Brenda enticing me into making those "offers"**.

To do this **the tape evidence of those seven phone call was extensively and professionally edited/altered**. They pretended that one of the phone calls had not occurred and used portions of that call's tape where I was talking, to replace much of Brenda's talk on the tapes of the other calls. They went to a lot of trouble to keep the remaining tape recordings the same exact length as the original calls to avoid being caught at this editing. The intent of all this was to make Brenda sound like only a passive listener rather than the person who had been controlling the conversations.

The "official" calls placed to me by Officer Brenda Herbert were on Friday February 23rd of 1990 at 11:27 AM, Monday March 5th at 1:09 PM, Wednesday March 7th at 8:47 PM, Thursday March 8th at 8:10 PM and Friday March 9th at 9:21 AM. The last call was to verify the planned meeting and was only a couple of minutes long, all of the other call were roughly an hour long.

The call that officially did not exist was placed to me on Saturday March 3rd ending at 7:37 AM (this could be 6:37 AM, if my computer time clock was incorrectly adjusted for daylight savings time). During that phone call (and only on that particular call with Brenda) I turned on my computer, which ended up setting the date/time stamp on certain files. This is why I know the time of that call. In their editing, the portion of the tape recording of me turning on my computer was moved to the following Wednesday's tape (March 7th).

I know that Brenda knowingly aided in the tape alteration -- she provided a voice-over that was added to the tape evidence to make an edit fit in better. My conclusion that the USPIS was involved is based on the fact that it was their scam; their "Confidential Informant" placed the original ad and they hid letters to cover-up part of the active nature of the entrapment. They had to realize that parts of the phone calls would also have to be "hidden" to prevent the true nature of their scam from coming out in court. In other words the USPIS had to at least be aware that the tape evidence alteration was going on.

In addition, one of the evidence tapes in my case had loud music from a CD I was playing in the background. That music could have been used to provide a timing reference. Yet there are still major edits on that tape but no timing discrepancy. They would have had to remove the background music, do the edits then replace the music. The local San Jose Police department simply would not have the resources to do that sophisticated of editing. I believe that the USPIS provided this expertise in support of the entrapment scam that they had developed.

All of this evidence hiding and alteration had the effect on me that the San Jose Police and the Federal agents had planned and expected. It became obvious to me that the System is so corrupt that whether or not I would spend the next ten years in prison had nothing to do with the real facts of my case or my real innocence. So I opted to take a "Nolo Contendere" plea for time served (six months in jail at this point) plus a few extra months. I took that plea with the hope of finding the hidden evidence once I was out of jail, and of then proving my innocence and their guilt in court.

I now have much of that evidence but the courts have stonewalled every attempt I have made to bring this whole issue to trial, they are in effect covering-up for our corrupt police and Federal Agents. The final court rejection was in March of 2000.

The damages done by the organized criminal activities of the US Postal Inspection Service together with the San Jose Police, include destroying my life. I now have a felony conviction, and for awhile had to register as a "Sex Offender". This has had a detrimental effect on any potential relationships with women that I had. It also cut my earning capability in half, I have a Masters in Computer Science yet I have to accept low wages from companies that are willing to ignore my criminal record.

The damage also includes the destruction of my family; I have tried to communicate the real facts of my case to my now adult children who think of me as only a common criminal whom they want nothing to do with. All my attempts at doing this have resulted only in a ten-year court restraining order preventing me from contacting my daughter again, and my son returning letters I send him unopened.

As a result of all this I believe that the US Government owes me in excess of 15 Million dollars for both actual as well as punitive damages, all for the intentional premeditated violation of my rights by agents of the USPIS. Those Rights are supposedly protected by the Constitution of the United States, the same document that you people and the USPIS agents have all sworn to uphold.

## **Now what?**

The comparable free-market situation would be if a store had sold me a bogus bill of goods. I first would notify the store of the discrepancy and give them a chance to correct the problems. If they failed to do this, I would then withhold future payments and start notifying other customers of the fraudulent actions of that store.

Eventually I would seek out a collection agency that could recover moneys already paid out by me, and for them to also recover compensation to pay for damages suffered by me.

A reputable store would investigate my complaints and do whatever it could to keep me as a satisfied customer. It would even go as far as firing its employees that had treated me unfairly.

By comparison the Federal Government has sold the American public a bogus bill of goods. It has promised to protect our rights. Yet agencies of that government, such as the USPS, have come up with innovative ways to actually violate our rights that somehow aren't covered by the well-written laws that pretend to protect us. Those laws end up protecting those agencies.

I expect that the US Government and its bureaucracy will be less interested in finding solutions for its Customer/Citizen complaints such as mine, and more interested in maintaining and increasing the strength of its political power and police power. Instead of being thought of as a concerned citizen who is trying to rectify problems, I will be seen as an antagonist and a threat to be dealt with; maybe even a potential "Domestic Terrorist".

If this happens, as a last resort I will do what I can, politically and otherwise to help bring about the demise of the US Government.

Up to now much of the my money that would have gone to paying taxes these past years has instead gone to informing the public of just how evil and corrupt our government (both Federal and State) and its Law Enforcement agencies have become. I have spent this on such things as the maintenance of a Web site ([www.jwebster.com](http://www.jwebster.com)) where I have a lot of the evidence of my legal case. I also have run ads in local papers, and have personally run for public office (Calif. State Senate, district 13) this last year as well as in 1996. I will continue to spend a good portion of my earnings on these efforts.

When the US Government begins protecting my Rights rather than violating them, when it start paying its debt to me and correcting for the damage it has done to me, and when it finally makes public the nature of the organized criminal activities in the past of the US Postal Inspection Service and other Law Enforcement agencies **then I will again support the US Government both politically and through the voluntarily payment of my portion of that social contract called "income taxes"**.

I am eagerly awaiting the response of this US Government that was supposedly created "Of the People, by the People and for the People".

Sincerely,

John H. Webster

Dated: